



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 08/487, 526
 06/07/95
 HARVEY
 J
 5634.355

LM61/0330

THOMAS J SCOTT JR HOWREY & SIMON 1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20004 EXAMINER

LUTHER, W

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2731

DATE MAILED:

03/30/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Advisory Action

Application No. 08/487,526

Applicant(s)

Examiner

William Luther

Group Art Unit 2731

HARVEY et al

	· · · · · ·
TH	IE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) X expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Mar 9, 1998</u> has been considered with the following effect, t is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The proposed amendment(s):
	☐ will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	🗴 will not be entered because:
	X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	\Box they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: as a necessary matter of course the proposed amend, which stands to substantially alter the claim scope of
	all independent claims, raises new issues that would require further search and/or consideration.
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
X	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Inre the admin requirement: it is considered administrative and not a substantive rule and as such is found to be proper.
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Claims allowed:
	Claims allowed:Claims objected to:
	Claims rejected: 2-20
	The proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
	Other AMPRICA CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF TH
	William Luther Supervisory patent examiner
	William Luther SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER (703) 3 08-6009 GROUP 2700
	(103) 3 40 /- (